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Abstract - Voice over IP (VoIP) has become the standard technology for telephony and has replaced the old Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN). This research focuses on the security aspect of VoIP systems. Unsecured VoIP systems are vulnerable to malicious attacks. However, the overhead of the security techniques hampers the performance of VoIP systems. This research analyzes how a VoIP system performs with different security techniques. The performance of the VoIP system is analyzed on different types of data networks such as IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 mixed networks, and in scenarios such as with and without network traffic. Additionally, the research includes a cost-benefit analysis of the security techniques, to determine their cost effectiveness. Based on the performance analysis and cost-benefit analysis, this research proposes three security techniques that can be applied to VoIP systems deployed on IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 networks.
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I. INTRODUCTION

VoIP is a technology that transmits voice as IP packets over data networks, and is used by home users, businesses, and government organizations globally [1]. VoIP is used for diverse purposes such as normal voice calls, emergency calls, business calls, and calls carrying important data. VoIP systems use the Internet as their underlying infrastructure. Since the Internet is a public platform carrying private VoIP calls, it becomes imperative to secure the VoIP systems so that they are usable for all types of users and purposes [22]. The downside of adding security to any system is that it adds to the overhead of processing and can adversely affect the performance of the system, therefore, there is a trade-off between the desired security and performance. This research aims at analyzing the trade-off issue for a VoIP system by determining the techniques that provide an optimum level of security and performance.

IPv4 is a protocol used to transmit packets through various networks on the Internet. IPv4 uses a 32-bit address scheme, which limits the number of addresses that can be used on the Internet. To avoid complete exhaustion of the usable addresses, a new protocol named IPv6 was developed. New organizations are being allocated IPv6 addresses while organizations with existing IPv4 networks are trying to transition to IPv6 networks. However, the transition to IPv6 addresses is a time-consuming process, as it includes reconfiguring the entire network with new addresses. These organizations are gradually migrating to IPv6, and hence, they have networks with both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses. Therefore, the future VoIP systems for the old and new organizations will be deployed on IPv4, IPv6, or IPv4/IPv6 mixed networks. This research determines the effect of security on the performance of these VoIP systems, helping the organizations make a decision about the security techniques they want to deploy.

This research takes into consideration the realtime network traffic while analyzing the performance of the security techniques, in order to gauge the effect of security on the performance of VoIP systems in real-world networks. In addition, this research considers the cost-effectiveness of the security techniques, to make sure that implementing these techniques is a feasible investment to organizations. This research bases itself on the results and analysis of the past work conducted in the VoIP security field and goes beyond to determine high-performance and cost-effective security techniques for future VoIP deployments.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section II presents our research sub-problems; section III provides a review of the existing body of knowledge. Section IV provides an overview of our research methodology, followed by the research results and analysis in sections V and VI respectively. Section VII concludes our research and addresses scope for future enhancements.

II. RESEARCH SUB-PROBLEMS

To answer the research question, it is broken down into three sub-problems –
1. What are the security techniques that provide an optimum performance to a VoIP system operating on uncongested IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 networks?

The aim of this research sub-problem is to analyze the performance of a VoIP system with various security techniques, on an uncongested network. The performance of the VoIP system without any security is considered as the benchmark. The security techniques are applied one by one to the VoIP system and the performance of the VoIP system is observed with each technique and this process is performed for the three types of data networks. Since the network is uncongested, the performance of the system associates directly to the security technique being deployed. This research sub-problem answers the first part of the research problem, that is, which security techniques provide an optimum level of performance to a VoIP system running on uncongested IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 mixed networks.

2. What are the security techniques that provide an optimum performance to a VoIP system operating on congested IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 networks?

The first sub-problem gives the benchmark of how a VoIP system performs with various security techniques when there is no network traffic. However, real-world data networks will not only carry VoIP traffic but also web traffic, email traffic, and traffic generated due to uploads and downloads of files. Though the network might not be fully congested, the performance of the VoIP system can be affected.

Therefore, the second sub-problem aims to analyze the performance of a VoIP system on a congested network, with different security techniques. The performance of the VoIP system without any security is considered as the benchmark. The security techniques are applied one by one to the VoIP system and the performance of the system is observed with each technique and this process is repeated for the three types of data networks.

Adding to the first sub-problem, this sub-problem answers the second part of the research problem, that is, which security techniques provide an optimum level of performance to a VoIP system running on congested IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 networks.

3. What are the costs and benefits associated with the high-performance security techniques?

It is not a reasonable investment for an organization to implement a high-performance security technique if it is not cost-effective. Therefore, it is important to understand the costs and benefits associated with the high-performance security techniques. Accordingly, the third sub-problem aims to determine the cost-effectiveness of these security techniques.

The first two sub-problems address the performance aspect of the security techniques and the third sub-problem addresses the cost-benefit aspect of the high-performance security techniques. Therefore, when all the sub-problems are solved, they determine the cost-effective security techniques that deliver an optimum performance and security to a VoIP system operating on uncongested and congested data networks.

III. LITERATURE REVIEW

Legacy voice system or PSTN has advantages such as no packet loss and high performance. However, PSTN also has disadvantages that limit its use. PSTN is a circuit-switched technology, which means that the connection between the endpoints of a call is established before the call is placed. In order to establish a connection beforehand, PSTN uses a dedicated channel for each call. Therefore, there are issues such as bandwidth wastage and high costs associated with PSTN, which is one of the reasons why PSTN is replaced by VoIP [2].

VoIP is a packet-switched technology, which means that the connection between the endpoints of a call is established once the call is initiated. Since packet-switched networks share the available bandwidth, VoIP saves bandwidth. Since VoIP systems operate on the existing Internet infrastructure, the installation and operational costs for VoIP systems are low. VoIP phones can be software based, and therefore, are portable. VoIP offers a plethora of features such as presence, voicemail, Interactive Voice Response (IVR), and caller-ID functions [3]. Presence technology helps in locating and identifying VoIP devices. IVR enables interaction between computers and humans, to automate repetitive and lengthy processes and improve the user experience. Since VoIP systems are simple to implement and maintain, they are being used extensively. VoIP is replacing PSTN in all marketplaces, including home and business [4].

Figure 1 shows how a VoIP call is established between two phones, using the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for signaling. SIP is a protocol used by VoIP to establish a connection between the endpoints of a call. There are multiple messages exchanged using SIP, which enable the endpoints to recognize each other, negotiate the different parameters of the call, and establish a channel to exchange the media, which can be either audio or video.
As seen in the figure, there are two parts to a VoIP call – signaling and media. Research has attempted to identify the attacks to which an unsecured VoIP system is vulnerable. These attacks can be divided into four domains; viz. – attacks on VoIP signaling, attacks on VoIP media, attacks on the data network on which a VoIP system works, and attacks on a well-functioning VoIP system. Some of the attacks on VoIP signaling are SIP registration hijacking, SIP message modification, and SIP redirects [5-7]. In the SIP message modification attack, the attackers intercept SIP messages and modify them to exploit vulnerabilities in the VoIP system. In attacks such as SIP registration hijacking and SIP redirects, the attackers reroute SIP messages to themselves and collect private information about the call, such as the called party and calling party, information about the SIP devices, and what type of media will be exchanged. Research has also been conducted to identify the media attacks on a VoIP system. VoIP uses Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) to transport the media. Some common attacks that have been identified on VoIP media are RTP payload modification and RTP tampering [5,6]. In these attacks, the attackers modify the RTP packets to exploit vulnerabilities in a VoIP system. This RTP modification also affects the confidentiality of the call. The third set of attacks is identified to affect the underlying data network. It is important to prevent these attacks, as, without a data network, the signaling system in VoIP will not work. Some of the attacks that affect the data network functionality are IP spoofing, MAC spoofing, Transmission Control Protocol (TCP) SYN flood, and TCP and User Datagram Protocol (UDP) replay attacks [8]. TCP is a transport layer protocol used for services requiring reliability, such as web browsing, while UDP is a transport layer protocol used for services requiring high speed, such as domain name resolution. With the help of attacks such as IP spoofing and MAC spoofing, the attackers can pose themselves as legitimate entities of the VoIP system and can intercept all the VoIP messages. TCP SYN flood and TCP/UDP replay attacks involve sending a high number of packets to a data network, such that it consumes network resources to an extent where the data network goes down. The last domain of attacks is the attacks on a functioning VoIP system. These attacks can bring down a working VoIP system. [6] and [9] have identified the attacks on a functioning VoIP system. Some of the attacks are Denial of Service (DoS), eavesdropping, and spam over VoIP. Attacks such as DoS and spam over VoIP, burden the network with excessive and unnecessary traffic, leading to packet loss and resource consumption on the network devices. Attacks such as eavesdropping, affect the confidentiality of the VoIP system. Considering the domains of attacks, there are four parts to VoIP security – securing VoIP signaling, securing VoIP media, securing the data network, and securing a functioning VoIP system.

Another method of studying the attacks on a VoIP system is by classifying the attacks based on the network layers they affect. This classification helps in developing security algorithms which are restricted to a specific layer or a set of layers. [8] presents the types of attacks to the VoIP system and the layers they affect.

[10] discusses some of the existing security mechanisms in VoIP. The current security mechanism for SIP messages is the use of Transport Layer Security (TLS), which encrypts all SIP signaling messages. Similarly, to secure the media, a secure version of RTP called Secure RTP (SRTP) is used [6].

Apart from applying security to VoIP signaling or media, implementing security at the IP layer or the Network layer secures the data network on which the VoIP system works as well as the VoIP traffic. Research has shown that deploying VoIP over IPsec tunnels or Virtual Private Networks (VPNs) secure the data network as well as the VoIP system [11]. IPsec tunnels or VPNs are virtual overlay networks over the Internet that connect two private networks, such as internal networks of an organization. IPsec tunnels secure the traffic flowing through them by encryption and authentication techniques.

To gain from the benefits of VoIP, it is important to maintain the performance of the VoIP system, even with an added element of security. Accordingly, research has been carried out to study the performance of VoIP in IPv4 and IPv6 environment. For instance, Ahmed et al., discuss the effect of Quality of Service (QoS) for VoIP over IPv4 and IPv6 [12]. However, the research does not comment on the performance of a VoIP system, when security is added. Similarly, Rahangdale et al., talk about SIP security, but not about the performance of a VoIP system when SIP security is implemented [13]. Two separate studies discuss the performance of SIP with IPv4 and IPv6 IPsec tunnels but do not evaluate and compare the results with other security techniques [14,15]. The research that analyzes the performance of TLS on a VoIP system presents a comparative analysis.
of the performance of the VoIP system with and without TLS. However, the research does not encompass other security techniques [16-18]. Research has been conducted to identify techniques to secure VoIP media. The research focuses only on the media domain, not other domains of a VoIP system [19]. None of the previous research has considered the cost-effectiveness of the security techniques. Overall, there has been minimal research conducted to find high-performance and cost-effective security techniques for VoIP systems working on IPv4, IPv6, and IPv4/IPv6 networks.

The methodology used in the past research involves building a testbed of a VoIP system and analyzing its performance with security techniques. The testbeds used were either pure IPv4 or pure IPv6 networks; few researchers used a mixed network, comprising of both IPv4 and IPv6 addresses. Moreover, no past work analyzed all the different VoIP security techniques exhaustively.

This research used a methodology similar to the previous research, that is, to setup a testbed of a VoIP system. In addition, for this research, the VoIP system was deployed over all types of networks – IPv4, IPv6, and mixed IPv4/IPv6. In this research, all the security techniques were applied, both individually and in combination. The performance was measured with respect to parameters such as signaling delay, media delay, and jitter [20]. Moreover, a cost-benefit analysis was performed as a part of this research, to understand the cost-effectiveness of the security techniques. The methodology was designed to overcome the shortcomings in the methodology used in previous research.

IV. RESEARCH OVERVIEW

This research was based on testing a VoIP system running on different data networks with different security techniques applied, and then evaluating the results of all the tests. The research included an analysis of costs and benefits of the security techniques. The research was designed such that the performance tests were carried out for each security technique and each type of data network. The cost-benefit analysis was performed for the three high-performing security techniques for each type of data network.

To address the first two sub-problems, we had to conduct the performance analysis of the security techniques on a testbed of a VoIP system. For the testbed, we decided to use the following hardware and software components –

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hardware</th>
<th>Software</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dell Desktop</td>
<td>PhonerLite Softphones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cisco 3825 Router</td>
<td>Asterisk Private Branch Exchange (PBX)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP Laptops</td>
<td>Wireshark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dell Laptops</td>
<td>IPerf Tool</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The components of the experimental setup (as shown in Fig. 2) were as follows –

- Softphones – There were two softphones used to initiate VoIP calls. Softphones are software tools which can be used as phones. The softphones were installed on two HP laptops. We used PhonerLite softphones, as they support both IPv4 and IPv6 addressing, and all the security techniques.
- Asterisk PBX – To enable the VoIP functionality in the two softphones, they were registered to the two Asterisk PBXs. PBX is a device that enables call routing and other VoIP functions for the VoIP phones. We used Asterisk as it as an open-source PBX and it supports all the security techniques. The Asterisk PBX software was located on two Dell desktop machines.
- Cisco 3825 Routers – The two Cisco routers were used as data routers, to connect two Local Area Network segments. The Cisco 3825 routers were loaded with a software that supports VPN configuration.
- Capturing PCs – All the VoIP traffic on the network was captured on the two capturing PCs running Wireshark. Wireshark is a software tool that can be used to sniff network traffic. Two Dell desktops were deployed as capturing machines.
- Traffic Generating PCs – These PCs were used to generate network traffic, required for the second sub-problem. Two Dell laptops were used to generate the traffic, using IPerf tool. IPerf is a software tool that is used to generate network traffic. It can generate multiple types of traffic. The amount of traffic and duration for which the traffic is sent can be adjusted as required.

In this research, we considered the following security techniques –

- a. VPN
- b. SRTP
- c. TLS
- d. VPN-SRTP
- e. VPN-TLS
- f. SRTP-TLS
- g. VPN-SRTP-TLS
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TABLE I. Hardware and software components
The three main techniques that we considered were VPNs, SRTP, and TLS. We deployed these techniques individually, as well as in combinations with each other. We analyzed the following parameters for each call –

a. **Signaling Delay** – It is the time taken to establish a VoIP call between two phones

b. **Media Delay** – It is the difference between the time when the phone number is dialed and the first RTP packet is received. Media Delay is the most important delay from a user’s perspective

c. **RTP Media Delay** – It is the maximum delay between two RTP packets

d. **RTP Jitter** – It is the mean jitter seen in the RTP packets. Jitter is the variation in the time taken for packets to traverse a system.

The first performance test was carried out on an uncongested network. Firstly, we deployed the VoIP system without any security, on a pure IPv4 data network. We initiated five calls from each softphone. The call duration was 30 seconds each. Simultaneously, all the call traffic was captured on Wireshark running on the capturing PCs. The captures were analyzed to find the values of the performance parameters. Secondly, we deployed the VoIP system with the security techniques, on the pure IPv4 data network. We repeated the performance tests with each security technique. All the captured call traffic was analyzed and the performance of the VoIP system with each security technique was determined. Thirdly, we configured the network as a pure IPv6 network. The performance tests and analyses were repeated exactly as conducted on the IPv4 network. Lastly, the network was configured as a mixed IPv4/IPv6 network. The performance tests and analyses were repeated as above.

The second performance test was carried out on a congested network. The network was not congested to a full 100%. Rather, we generated traffic to fill the network between 40%-60%, in order to simulate a real-world network. We used the IPerf tool on the Traffic Generating PCs, in order to generate a bi-directional UDP and TCP traffic. Initially, we deployed the VoIP system without any security, on a pure IPv4 data network. We generated UDP traffic and initiated three calls from each softphone. Then we generated TCP traffic and initiated three calls from each softphone. All calls lasted 30 seconds each. Simultaneously, all the call traffic was captured on Wireshark running on the Capturing PCs. The captures were analyzed to determine the performance of the VoIP system. Similar tests were performed with each security technique. All Wireshark captures were analyzed, and the results were
compiled. The above tests were repeated exactly with a pure-IPv6 network and an IPv4/IPv6 mixed network.

When using a VoIP system, users base their experience on the time required to setup the call and hear the audio, which is represented by the Media Delay parameter. The RTP delay and jitter are on the orders of few milliseconds and are almost the same with any security technique and any data network. Therefore, for this research, we determined high performing security techniques based on the Media Delay parameter. We determined the three fastest security techniques, for each type of data network, and for uncongested and congested networks.

We performed a cost-benefit analysis for each of the three security techniques. We considered the following factors for the cost-benefit analysis –

a. *How is the user experience of the call with the security technique?*

   We considered the Media Delay parameter to quantify the user experience of the VoIP system.

b. *What is the cost of deploying the security technique on a new installation of a data network similar to our testbed?*

   To determine the cost of deployment, we considered the cost of building a new data network similar to our testbed and implementing the security technique on it. We considered the hardware and software costs required to build such a network and deploy the security technique.

c. *How easy is it to deploy the security technique?*

   We determined the ease of deployment of the security technique based on the configuration required to implement that technique. Furthermore, we considered whether the security technique is a plug-and-play type of technique or is it a technique wherein configuration changes and maintenance is required.

d. *How secure is the security technique?*

   To determine the level of security provided by the technique, we analyzed whether the security technique itself is strong enough or can be compromised easily.

e. *What is the complexity of troubleshooting the VoIP system with the security technique?*

   The complexity of troubleshooting is important from the post-deployment point of view. We considered a variety of scenarios that could occur if there are issues in the functioning of the data network or the VoIP system. Considering these issues, we determined the complexity of troubleshooting the data network or the VoIP system, with the security technique applied.

The above factors determine how beneficial it is to implement a security technique and what cost factors are associated with it. We have considered tangible and intangible cost factors for each security technique. After analyzing all the results, we have, for all types of data networks, and for uncongested and congested networks, the three fastest security techniques and the costs and benefits associated with them.

V. RESEARCH RESULTS

Following are the results of our experiments –

Table II. Uncongested IPv4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VPN</th>
<th>VPN-SRTP</th>
<th>SRTP-TLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay (seconds)</td>
<td>0.2625</td>
<td>0.268</td>
<td>0.268</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Deployment</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>18,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Deployment</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Cracking</td>
<td>AES - No Risk</td>
<td>VPN - No Risk</td>
<td>No Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table III. Uncongested IPv6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SRTP</th>
<th>VPN</th>
<th>VPN-SRTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay (seconds)</td>
<td>0.2618</td>
<td>0.2647</td>
<td>0.2661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Deployment</td>
<td>18,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Deployment</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Breaking</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>AES - No Risk</td>
<td>VPN - No Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table IV. Uncongested Mixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VPN</th>
<th>SRTP</th>
<th>VPN-SRTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay (seconds)</td>
<td>0.2588</td>
<td>0.2624</td>
<td>0.2639</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Deployment</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>18,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Deployment</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Breaking</td>
<td>AES - No Risk</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>VPN - No Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complexity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table V. Congested IPv4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>VPN-SRTP-TLS</th>
<th>SRTP-TLS</th>
<th>VPN-SRTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delay (seconds)</td>
<td>0.2507</td>
<td>0.2663</td>
<td>0.2674</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Deployment</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>18,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Deployment</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The cost of deployment for VPN is slightly more than that for SRTP and TLS. SRTP only encrypts the voice traffic. The signaling traffic is still clear text. Since most of the VoIP issues are due to incorrect signaling, the troubleshooting becomes easier with SRTP. For instance, common issues such as one-way audio or no audio are easier to troubleshoot with SRTP. TLS encrypts the signaling part, hence it becomes difficult to troubleshoot with TLS. Moreover, because of the complex configuration of TLS, if there are issues with the TLS configuration itself, it becomes a tedious task to troubleshoot. In the case of VPN, both the signaling and media is encrypted, which makes it difficult to troubleshoot VoIP issues. However, since VPN is simple to configure, issues with VPN configuration and operation are easier to troubleshoot.

The encryption key used in SRTP is transmitted in clear-text and can be sniffed through Wireshark. If TLS is used with SRTP, then the SRTP encryption key is encrypted. Therefore, SRTP is not secure if used individually [21]. However, VPN and TLS are extremely secure techniques. VPN uses Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) encryption, which cannot be compromised. Similarly, TLS uses advanced encryption methods and features that cannot be decrypted.

VPN can secure the signaling and media of the VoIP system. Therefore, it can protect the VoIP system from most of the attacks on signaling, media, the actual data network, and a functioning VoIP system. However, VPNs are normally not deployed on the internal network of the organization. Therefore, the VoIP system is still insecure inside the organizational network. TLS can

---

**Table VI.** Uncongested IPv6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delay (seconds)</th>
<th>VPN</th>
<th>SRTP</th>
<th>TLS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Deployment (USD)</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>18,100</td>
<td>18,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Deployment</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Breaking</td>
<td>AES - No Risk</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>No Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting Complexity</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table VII.** Congested Mixed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delay (seconds)</th>
<th>SRTP</th>
<th>VPN-SRTP</th>
<th>VPN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of Deployment (USD)</td>
<td>18,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
<td>20,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ease of Deployment</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk of Breaking</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>VPN - No Risk, SRTP can be easily decrypted</td>
<td>AES - No Risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troubleshooting Complexity</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We determined the cost of deployment based on the following formula –

\[
\text{Cost of Deployment} = (\text{Cost of the Softphones}) + (\text{Cost of the Cisco Routers}) + (\text{Cost of the security software required to support VPN})
\]

The costs for each component are as follows -

- **Cost of Softphone - $50**
- **Cost of Cisco Router - $9,000**
- **Cost of Software License - $1,000**

The results are shown for all the data networks, and for congested and uncongested conditions.

VI. RESEARCH ANALYSIS

The performance analysis shows that for all types of data networks, VPN, SRTP, or their combination, are the fastest techniques. Therefore, the user experience of a call will be the best if these techniques are used. However, in terms of costs and benefits associated with the technique, different organizations can use different security techniques, according to their requirements.
secure VoIP signaling on the internal network of the organization. However, it only secures signaling and it might not be implemented over the Internet. Similarly, SRTP secures only the media of the VoIP system and that too, only internal to the organizational network. As per an organization’s requirements, any of the above security techniques can be used. For instance, if an organization does not prioritize securing its internal network, then it can use VPN to secure the VoIP system over the Internet.

We have shown the three fastest security techniques and the costs and benefits of using these techniques. The organizations that want to deploy VoIP systems can refer to the results of this research and, depending on their requirements and priorities, the organizations can choose one of these security techniques.

VII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

VoIP is an evolving technology in the telephony domain. There are various security issues related to VoIP. Although past research has analyzed security techniques, it does not cover all the security techniques on all types of data networks. This research has performed a comprehensive analysis of all the security techniques. It has considered all types of data networks, and scenarios such as uncongested and congested networks. Moreover, this research has considered the cost-effectiveness of all the security techniques. The results of this research should give an overview of which security techniques have high performance and what are the costs and benefits of using these techniques.

Future work would include a performance and cost evaluation of VoIP operations when deployed on the next-generation networks. Specifically, we would like to understand the benefits of integrating VoIP with software-defined networking and network functions virtualization.

REFERENCES


